



**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**Date of Completion** 12/03/12

**State:** Alabama

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2013**

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Recipients
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3<sup>rd</sup> incidence of non-compliance lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3<sup>rd</sup> incidence of non-compliance results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7<sup>th</sup> month and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.
  
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of recipients will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used beginning six months later for six months, i.e., the negative effect of the change begins with month 7 and the duration is six months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/03/12

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Recipients
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3<sup>rd</sup> incidence of non-cooperation lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3<sup>rd</sup> incidence of non-cooperation results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7<sup>th</sup> month and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of recipients will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used beginning six months later for six months, i.e., the negative effect of the change begins with month 7 and the duration is six months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/03/12

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8-1-06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: Award with benefit reduction followed by disqualification dependent on number of months of non-cooperation. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.
  
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of applicants denied for non-cooperation with Child Support during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State’s automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied applicants each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/03/12

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.
  
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:  
(attach supporting materials to this form) The number of applicants denied for non-compliance with JOBS during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State’s automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied applicants each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion 12/03/12

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: Time Limit Hardship Extension Disqualification
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 12/1/08
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: In order to receive past 60 months the grantee or spouse of the grantee must have a hardship and s/he must be cooperating with Child Support and JOBS as appropriate. Failure to cooperate results in permanent disqualification.
  
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:  
(attach supporting materials to this form) The number of recipients will be identified by terminating reason code in the state automated system (FACETS). The number of such terminated recipients each month will be counted indefinitely.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 (0)

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

<b>Date of Completion</b> <u>12/3/12</u>	
<b>State:</b> <u>Alabama</u>	<b>Fiscal Year to which credit applies:</b> <u>2013</u>

**PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit**

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**  
**Overall Credit**

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2013
2				Date of Completion:	12/3/2012	
3	<b>PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit</b>					
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			<u>Caseload Reduction Calculation</u>		
6	Earned Income Disregard	0		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	0		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	0		<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>20,297</b>	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	0		FY 2012 TANF Caseload	21,585	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	0		FY 2012 SSP Caseload		
11	Time Limit Hardship Ext. Disq.	0		<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>21,585</b>	
12				Excess MOE Cases in FY 2012	4,932	
13				<b>Adjusted FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>16,653</b>	
14				Caseload Decline	3,644	18.0%
15				Decline – Net Impact	3,644	
16						
17				Caseload Reduction Credit =		<b>18.0%</b>
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	<b>Net Impact</b>		<b>0</b>			
27						
28						

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT  
Two-Parent Credit**

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2013
2				Date of Completion:	12/3/2012	
3	<b>PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit -- 2-Parent Caseload</b>					
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	Earned Income Disregard	0		FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload		
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	0		FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	0		<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>257</b>	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	0		FY 2012 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	205	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	0		FY 2012 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	0	
11	Time Limit Hardship Ext. Disq.	0		<b>Total FY 2012 2-Parent Caseload</b>	<b>205</b>	
12				Excess MOE 2-Parent Cases in FY 2012	47	
13				<b>Adjusted FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>158</b>	
14				Caseload Decline	99	38.5%
15				Decline – Net Impact	99	
16						
17				2-Parent Caseload Reduction Credit =		<b>38.5%</b>
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	<b>Net Impact</b>	<b>0</b>				
27						
28						

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT  
Excess MOE Worksheet**

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	<b>Alabama</b>			<b>Fiscal Year to which credit applies:</b>		<b>2013</b>
2				<b>Date of Completion:</b>		12/3/2012
3	<b>Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet</b>					
4						
5	<b>Caseload Data</b>			<b>Expenditure Data</b>		
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040		<b>Total Expenditures</b>		
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257		FY 2012 Total Federal Expenditures		\$87,856,046
8	<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>20,297</b>		FY 2012 Total MOE Expenditures		\$80,236,191
9	FY 2012 TANF Caseload	21,585		<b>Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)</b>		\$168,092,237
10	FY 2012 SSP Caseload	0				
11	<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>21,585</b>		<b>Assistance Expenditures</b>		
12				FY 2012 Federal Expenditures on Assistance		\$51,602,038
13	<b>2-Parent Caseload Data</b>			FY 2012 MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$4,222,906
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		<b>Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)</b>		\$55,824,944
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	257		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance		33.21%
16	<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>257</b>				
17	FY 2012 2-p TANF Caseload	205		<b>Expenditures Per Case</b>		
18	FY 2012 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case		\$7,787
19	<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>205</b>		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance		\$2,586
20						
21				<b>MOE and Excess MOE</b>		
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)		\$41,828,393
23				Excess MOE Expenditures		\$38,407,798
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$12,755,575
25	<b>Adjusted Caseload Data</b>					
26	Adjusted FY 2012 Overall Caseload	<b>16,653</b>		<b>Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>		<b>4,932</b>
27	Adjusted FY 2012 2-parent Caseload	<b>158</b>		<b>2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>		<b>47</b>
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						